

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/880,798 | 06/15/2001 | Avetik R. Harutyunyan | 59516-013 | 6676 | |
| 75 | 90 01/02/2003 | | | | |
| McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 600 13th Street, Washington, DC | | | LISH, PE | TER J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1754 | <i>(/</i> | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003 | \ | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/880,798 | HARUTYUNYAN E | Τ ΔΙ | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | - AL. | | | | |
| | • | Peter J Lish | 1754 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE | E of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet w | 1 | ress | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to com | nmunication(s) filed on 10 | October 2001 . | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINA | . L . 2b)⊠ T | his action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | oc with the practice tinge | LA parte Quayle, 1935 C. | J. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are | e pending in the application | on. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above cla | im(s) is/are withdra | awn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/ar | e allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/ar | e rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s)is/ar | e objected to. | | | | | | | |
| | 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | sapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statemer | Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of In | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-15 | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/880,798

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-9, drawn to an apparatus for heating under a magnetic field, classified in

class 110, subclass 227.

II. Claims 10-20, drawn to a method for producing carbon nanotubes, classified in

class 423, subclass 447.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions

are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be

used to practice another and materially different process, such as the incineration of waste.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Daniel Bucca on 12/20/02 to request an oral election to the

above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/880,798

Art Unit: 1754

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Lish whose telephone number is 703-308-1772. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-305-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PL

December 21, 2002

STUART L. HENDRICKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER